

Friday, May 10, 2019

Attn: Mr. Nick Granata Tighe & Bond 213 Court St, Suite 1100 Middletown, CT 06457

Project ID: 98 PROSPECT E-0700

SDG ID: GCD06778 Sample ID#s: CD06778

This laboratory is in compliance with the NELAC requirements of procedures used except where indicated.

This report contains results for the parameters tested, under the sampling conditions described on the Chain Of Custody, as received by the laboratory. This report is incomplete unless all pages indicated in the pagination at the bottom of the page are included.

All soils, solids and sludges are reported on a dry weight basis unless otherwise noted in the sample comments.

A scanned version of the COC form accompanies the analytical report and is an exact duplicate of the original.

If you are the client above and have any questions concerning this testing, please do not hesitate to contact Phoenix Client Services at ext.200. The contents of this report cannot be discussed with anyone other than the client listed above without their written consent.

Sincerely yours,

Phyllis/Shiller

Laboratory Director

NELAC - #NY11301 CT Lab Registration #PH-0618 MA Lab Registration #M-CT007 ME Lab Registration #CT-007 NH Lab Registration #213693-A,B NJ Lab Registration #CT-003 NY Lab Registration #11301 PA Lab Registration #68-03530 RI Lab Registration #63 UT Lab Registration #CT00007 VT Lab Registration #VT11301



587 East Middle Turnpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045 Tel. (860) 645-1102 Fax (860) 645-0823

Sample Id Cross Reference

May 10, 2019

SDG I.D.: GCD06778

Project ID: 98 PROSPECT E-0700

Client Id	Lab Id	Matrix
DRUM-1	CD06778	SOLID



587 East Middle Turnpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045 Tel. (860) 645-1102 Fax (860) 645-0823

Analysis Report

May 10, 2019

FOR: Attn: Mr. Nick Granata

Tighe & Bond

213 Court St, Suite 1100 Middletown, CT 06457

Sample InformationCustody InformationDateTimeMatrix:SOLIDCollected by:05/02/1915:30Location Code:TIGHE-DASReceived by:LB05/03/1914:00

Rush Request: Standard Analyzed by: see "By" below

P.O.#: E-0700-006 Laboratory Data

SDG ID: GCD06778

Phoenix ID: CD06778

Project ID: 98 PROSPECT E-0700

Client ID: DRUM-1

		RL/						
Parameter	Result	PQL	Units	Dilution	Date/Time	Ву	Reference	
Silver	< 0.74	0.74	mg/Kg	1	05/04/19	CPP	SW6010D	
Arsenic	< 1.5	1.5	mg/Kg	1	05/04/19	CPP	SW6010D	
Barium	3.11	0.74	mg/Kg	1	05/04/19	CPP	SW6010D	
Beryllium	< 0.59	0.59	mg/Kg	1	05/04/19	CPP	SW6010D	
Cadmium	< 0.74	0.74	mg/Kg	1	05/04/19	CPP	SW6010D	
Chromium	31.0	0.74	mg/Kg	1	05/04/19	CPP	SW6010D	
Copper	< 1.5	1.5	mg/kg	1	05/04/19	CPP	SW6010D	
Mercury	< 0.03	0.03	mg/Kg	1	05/06/19	RS	SW7471B	
Nickel	135	0.74	mg/Kg	1	05/04/19	CPP	SW6010D	
Lead	1.57	0.74	mg/Kg	1	05/04/19	CPP	SW6010D	
Antimony	< 7.4	7.4	mg/Kg	1	05/04/19	CPP	SW6010D	
Selenium	< 2.9	2.9	mg/Kg	1	05/04/19	CPP	SW6010D	
Thallium	< 6.6	6.6	mg/Kg	1	05/04/19	CPP	SW6010D	
Vanadium	49.8	0.74	mg/Kg	1	05/04/19	CPP	SW6010D	
Zinc	5.5	1.5	mg/Kg	1	05/04/19	CPP	SW6010D	
Soil Extraction for PCB	Completed				05/03/19	MM/V	SW3545A	
Soil Extraction SVOA PAH	Completed				05/06/19	SJ/LV	SW3545A	
Extraction of CT ETPH	Completed				05/06/19	BG/EE	SW3545A	
Mercury Digestion	Completed				05/06/19	W/W	SW7471B	
Total Metals Digest	Completed				05/03/19	B/AG	SW3050B	
TPH by GC (Extractable Products)								
Ext. Petroleum H.C. (C9-C36)	25000	11000	mg/Kg	50	05/09/19	KCA	CTETPH 8015D	
Identification	**		mg/Kg	50	05/09/19	KCA	CTETPH 8015D	
QA/QC Surrogates								
% n-Pentacosane	Diluted Out		%	50	05/09/19	KCA	50 - 150 %	

Client ID: DRUM-1

RL/ Parameter Result **PQL** Units Dilution Date/Time Βv Reference Polychlorinated Biphenyls PCB-1016 ND 780 5 ug/Kg 05/07/19 AW SW8082A 5 PCB-1221 ND 780 ug/Kg 05/07/19 AW SW8082A ND 780 5 ug/Kg 05/07/19 AW SW8082A PCB-1232 PCB-1242 ND 780 ug/Kg 5 05/07/19 AW SW8082A PCB-1248 ND 780 ug/Kg 5 05/07/19 AW SW8082A 5 PCB-1254 ND 780 ug/Kg 05/07/19 AW SW8082A 5 PCB-1260 ND 780 ug/Kg 05/07/19 AW SW8082A 5 ND 780 AW SW8082A PCB-1262 ug/Kg 05/07/19 ND 780 5 SW8082A PCB-1268 ug/Kg 05/07/19 AW QA/QC Surrogates 99 % 5 05/07/19 AW 30 - 150 % % DCBP 5 % DCBP (Confirmation) 102 % 05/07/19 AW 30 - 150 % 5 72 % ΑW % TCMX 05/07/19 30 - 150 % 5 78 % % TCMX (Confirmation) 05/07/19 AW 30 - 150 % Polynuclear Aromatic HC 100 2-Methylnaphthalene 1400000 05/08/19 WB SW8270D 110000 ug/Kg Acenaphthene ND 8400 ug/Kg 10 05/08/19 WB SW8270D ND 8400 10 05/08/19 WB SW8270D Acenaphthylene ug/Kg ND 11000 ug/Kg 10 05/08/19 WB SW8270D Anthracene WB SW8270D Benz(a)anthracene ND 3000 ug/Kg 10 05/08/19 ND WB SW8270D 3000 10 05/08/19 Benzo(a)pyrene ug/Kg SW8270D ND 3000 ug/Kg 10 05/08/19 WB Benzo(b)fluoranthene ND 3000 10 05/08/19 WB SW8270D ug/Kg Benzo(ghi)perylene Benzo(k)fluoranthene ND 3000 ug/Kg 10 05/08/19 WB SW8270D ND 3000 10 05/08/19 WB SW8270D Chrysene ug/Kg ND WB SW8270D Dibenz(a,h)anthracene 3000 ug/Kg 10 05/08/19 Fluoranthene ND 5600 ug/Kg 10 05/08/19 WB SW8270D 31000 10 05/08/19 SW8270D 11000 WB Fluorene ug/Kg ND 3000 10 05/08/19 WB SW8270D Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene ug/Kg Naphthalene 530000 110000 ug/Kg 100 05/08/19 WB SW8270D 4500 4000 ug/Kg 10 05/08/19 WB SW8270D Phenanthrene Pvrene ND 4000 ug/Kg 10 05/08/19 WB SW8270D **QA/QC Surrogates** Diluted Out 10 05/08/19 WB 30 - 130 % % % 2-Fluorobiphenyl (10x) **Diluted Out** % 10 05/08/19 WB 30 - 130 % % Nitrobenzene-d5 (10x) **Diluted Out** 10 05/08/19 WB 30 - 130 % % Terphenyl-d14 (10x) % % 2-Fluorobiphenyl (100x) **Diluted Out** % 100 05/08/19 WB 30 - 130 % **Diluted Out** 100 05/08/19 30 - 130 % % Nitrobenzene-d5 (100x) % WB **Diluted Out** 100 05/08/19 WB 30 - 130 % % Terphenyl-d14 (100x) %

Phoenix I.D.: CD06778

Project ID: 98 PROSPECT E-0700 Phoenix I.D.: CD06778

Client ID: DRUM-1

RL/

Parameter Result PQL Units Dilution Date/Time By Reference

RL/PQL=Reporting/Practical Quantitation Level ND=Not Detected BRL=Below Reporting Level QA/QC Surrogates: Surrogates are compounds (preceeded with a %) added by the lab to determine analysis efficiency. Surrogate results(%) listed in the report are not "detected" compounds.

Comments:

Results are reported on an "as received" basis, and are not corrected for dry weight.

TPH Comment:

**Petroleum hydrocarbon chromatogram was not a perfect match with any of the standards, but contains a distribution in the C9 to C16 range. The sample was quantitated against a C9-C36 standard.

All soils, solids and sludges are reported on a dry weight basis unless otherwise noted in the sample comments.

If you are the client above and have any questions concerning this testing, please do not hesitate to contact Phoenix Client Services at ext.200. The contents of this report cannot be discussed with anyone other than the client listed above without their written consent.

Phyllis Shiller, Laboratory Director

May 10, 2019

Reviewed and Released by: Greg Lawrence, Assistant Lab Director



587 East Middle Turnpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045 Tel. (860) 645-1102 Fax (860) 645-0823

QA/QC Report

May 10, 2019

QA/QC Data

QC Data SDG I.D.: GCD06778

Parameter	Blank	Blk RL	Sample Result	Dup Result	Dup RPD	LCS %	LCSD %	LCS RPD	MS %	MSD %	MS RPD	% Rec Limits	% RPD Limits	
QA/QC Batch 477659 (mg/kg), QC Sample No: CD06730 (CD06778)														
Mercury - Soil	BRL	0.03	0.14	0.11	NC	105	106	0.9	110	91.2	18.7	70 - 130	30	
Comment:														
Additional Mercury criteria: LCS a	cceptanc	e range t	or waters	is 80-120	% and fo	or soils is	s 70-130 ⁹	%. MS a	cceptan	ce range	is 75-1	25%.		
QA/QC Batch 477535 (mg/kg),	QC Sam	ple No:	CD0673	0 (CD06	778)									
ICP Metals - Soil														
Antimony	BRL	3.3	<3.7	<3.8	NC	99.0			90.9			75 - 125	30	
Arsenic	BRL	0.67	5.48	7.86	35.7	97.4			88.2			75 - 125	30	r
Barium	BRL	0.33	46.3	53.8	15.0	102			102			75 - 125	30	
Beryllium	BRL	0.27	0.35	0.46	NC	104			96.7			75 - 125	30	
Cadmium	BRL	0.33	0.43	0.60	NC	101			93.5			75 - 125	30	
Chromium	BRL	0.33	8.96	9.01	0.60	104			99.8			75 - 125	30	
Copper	BRL	0.67	21.6	26.6	20.7	88.6			105			75 - 125	30	
Lead	BRL	0.33	55.3	62.5	12.2	87.6			93.4			75 - 125	30	
Nickel	BRL	0.33	10.1	11.1	9.40	106			95.1			75 - 125	30	
Selenium	BRL	1.3	<1.5	<1.5	NC	85.7			78.3			75 - 125	30	
Silver	BRL	0.33	< 0.37	< 0.38	NC	97.6			96.9			75 - 125	30	
Thallium	BRL	3.0	<3.3	<3.4	NC	102			92.3			75 - 125	30	
Vanadium	BRL	0.33	19.5	20.4	4.50	90.9			99.6			75 - 125	30	
Zinc	BRL	0.67	49.1	53.1	7.80	100			93.0			75 - 125	30	

r = This parameter is outside laboratory RPD specified recovery limits.



587 East Middle Turnpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045 Tel. (860) 645-1102 Fax (860) 645-0823

QA/QC Report

May 10, 2019

QA/QC Data

SDG I.D.: GCD06778

<i>y</i> ,											
Parameter	Blank	BIk RL	LCS %	LCSD %	LCS RPD	MS %	MSD %	MS RPD	% Rec Limits	% RPD Limits	
QA/QC Batch 477762 (mg/Kg),	OC Sam	nnle No: CD07352 ((CD06778)								
TPH by GC (Extractable F		•	3200770)								
Ext. Petroleum H.C. (C9-C36)	ND	50	75	98	26.6	102	109	6.6	60 - 120	30	
% n-Pentacosane	62	%	75 67	98 86	26.6	94	97	3.1	50 - 150	30	
	02	70	07	00	24.0	74	71	3.1	30 - 130	30	
Additional surrogate criteria: LCS a	Comment: Additional surrogate criteria: LCS acceptance range is 60-120% MS acceptance range 50-150%. The ETPH/DRO LCS has been normalized based on the alkane calibration.										
QA/QC Batch 477546 (ug/Kg), (2C Sam	ple No: CD06732 2)	K (CD06778)								
Polychlorinated Biphenyls			(1 11 1)								
PCB-1016	ND	<u>∽</u> 33	70	67	4.4	51	68	28.6	40 - 140	30	
PCB-1010	ND	33	70	07	4.4	J 1	00	20.0	40 - 140	30	
PCB-1232	ND	33							40 - 140	30	
PCB-1232	ND	33							40 - 140	30	
PCB-1248	ND	33							40 - 140	30	
PCB-1254	ND	33							40 - 140	30	
PCB-1260	ND	33	92	95	3.2	63	81	25.0	40 - 140	30	
PCB-1262	ND	33	,_	, ,	0.2		٥.	20.0	40 - 140	30	
PCB-1268	ND	33							40 - 140	30	
% DCBP (Surrogate Rec)	92	%	99	111	11.4	68	86	23.4	30 - 150	30	
% DCBP (Surrogate Rec) (Confirm	83	%	89	92	3.3	57	78	31.1	30 - 150	30	r
% TCMX (Surrogate Rec)	77	%	85	85	0.0	58	79	30.7	30 - 150	30	r
% TCMX (Surrogate Rec) (Confirm	76	%	88	88	0.0	60	81	29.8	30 - 150	30	
QA/QC Batch 477727 (ug/kg), C	C Sam	ole No: CD06731 (C	D06778)								
Polynuclear Aromatic HC	- Solid										
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	230	65	59	9.7	65	59	9.7	30 - 130	30	
Acenaphthene	ND	230	69	65	6.0	66	66	0.0	30 - 130	30	
Acenaphthylene	ND	230	70	65	7.4	68	66	3.0	30 - 130	30	
Anthracene	ND	230	73	68	7.1	71	69	2.9	30 - 130	30	
Benz(a)anthracene	ND	230	75	71	5.5	74	70	5.6	30 - 130	30	
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	230	75	71	5.5	73	71	2.8	30 - 130	30	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	230	79	74	6.5	76	74	2.7	30 - 130	30	
Benzo(ghi)perylene	ND	230	75	71	5.5	72	70	2.8	30 - 130	30	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	230	76	69	9.7	73	71	2.8	30 - 130	30	
Chrysene	ND	230	72	68	5.7	70	67	4.4	30 - 130	30	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	230	85	81	4.8	82	79	3.7	30 - 130	30	
Fluoranthene	ND	230	76	70	8.2	74	72	2.7	30 - 130	30	
Fluorene	ND	230	75	70	6.9	73	72	1.4	30 - 130	30	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	230	91	85	6.8	86	83	3.6	30 - 130	30	
Naphthalene	ND	230	62	56	10.2	63	57	10.0	30 - 130	30	
Phenanthrene	ND	230	71	64	10.4	68	66	3.0	30 - 130	30	
Pyrene	ND	230	77	71	8.1	76	74	2.7	30 - 130	30	
% 2-Fluorobiphenyl	63	%	66	63	4.7	66	63	4.7	30 - 130	30	

QA/QC Data

Parameter		3lk RL	LCS %	LCSD %	LCS RPD	MS %	MSD %	MS RPD	% Rec Limits	% RPD Limits
% Nitrobenzene-d5	55	%	60	55	8.7	63	56	11.8	30 - 130	30
% Terphenyl-d14	72	%	75	70	6.9	72	72	0.0	30 - 130	30
Comment:										

Additional 8270 criteria: 20% of compounds can be outside of acceptance criteria as long as recovery is at least 10%. (Acid surrogates acceptance range for aqueous samples: 15-110%, for soils 30-130%)

If there are any questions regarding this data, please call Phoenix Client Services at extension 200.

RPD - Relative Percent Difference

LCS - Laboratory Control Sample

LCSD - Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate

MS - Matrix Spike

MS Dup - Matrix Spike Duplicate

NC - No Criteria

Intf - Interference

Phyllis/Shiller, Laboratory Director

SDG I.D.: GCD06778

May 16, 2019

r = This parameter is outside laboratory RPD specified recovery limits.

Friday, May 10, 2019

Criteria: CT: GAM, I/C, RC

Sample Criteria Exceedances Report GCD06778 - TIGHE-DAS

State: CT

State:	CT						RL	Analysis
SampNo	Acode	Phoenix Analyte	Criteria	Result	RL	Criteria	Criteria	Units
CD06778	\$8100SMR	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	CT / RSR DEC I/C (mg/kg) / APS Organics	ND	3000	1000	1000	ug/Kg
CD06778	\$8100SMR	2-Methylnaphthalene	CT / RSR DEC I/C (mg/kg) / APS Organics	1400000	110000	1000000	1000000	ug/Kg
CD06778	\$8100SMR	Benzo(a)pyrene	CT / RSR DEC I/C (mg/kg) / Semivolatiles	ND	3000	1000	1000	ug/Kg
CD06778	\$8100SMR	2-Methylnaphthalene	CT / RSR DEC RES (mg/kg) / APS Organics	1400000	110000	270000	270000	ug/Kg
CD06778	\$8100SMR	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	CT / RSR DEC RES (mg/kg) / APS Organics	ND	3000	1000	1000	ug/Kg
CD06778	\$8100SMR	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	CT / RSR DEC RES (mg/kg) / APS Organics	ND	3000	1000	1000	ug/Kg
CD06778	\$8100SMR	Benz(a)anthracene	CT / RSR DEC RES (mg/kg) / Semivolatiles	ND	3000	1000	1000	ug/Kg
CD06778	\$8100SMR	Benzo(a)pyrene	CT / RSR DEC RES (mg/kg) / Semivolatiles	ND	3000	1000	1000	ug/Kg
CD06778	\$8100SMR	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	CT / RSR DEC RES (mg/kg) / Semivolatiles	ND	3000	1000	1000	ug/Kg
CD06778	\$8100SMR	2-Methylnaphthalene	CT / RSR GA,GAA (mg/kg) / APS Organics	1400000	110000	560	560	ug/Kg
CD06778	\$8100SMR	Benzo(ghi)perylene	CT / RSR GA,GAA (mg/kg) / APS Organics	ND	3000	1000	1000	ug/Kg
CD06778	\$8100SMR	Chrysene	CT / RSR GA,GAA (mg/kg) / APS Organics	ND	3000	1000	1000	ug/Kg
CD06778	\$8100SMR	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	CT / RSR GA,GAA (mg/kg) / APS Organics	ND	3000	1000	1000	ug/Kg
CD06778	\$8100SMR	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	CT / RSR GA,GAA (mg/kg) / APS Organics	ND	3000	1000	1000	ug/Kg
CD06778	\$8100SMR	Fluorene	CT / RSR GA,GAA (mg/kg) / Semivolatiles	31000	11000	5600	5600	ug/Kg
CD06778	\$8100SMR	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	CT / RSR GA,GAA (mg/kg) / Semivolatiles	ND	3000	1000	1000	ug/Kg
CD06778	\$8100SMR	Benzo(a)pyrene	CT / RSR GA,GAA (mg/kg) / Semivolatiles	ND	3000	1000	1000	ug/Kg
CD06778	\$8100SMR	Naphthalene	CT / RSR GA,GAA (mg/kg) / Semivolatiles	530000	110000	5600	5600	ug/Kg
CD06778	\$8100SMR	Phenanthrene	CT / RSR GA,GAA (mg/kg) / Semivolatiles	4500	4000	4000	4000	ug/Kg
CD06778	\$8100SMR	Benz(a)anthracene	CT / RSR GA,GAA (mg/kg) / Semivolatiles	ND	3000	1000	1000	ug/Kg
CD06778	\$8100SMR	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	CT / RSR GA,GAA (mg/kg) / Semivolatiles	ND	3000	1000	1000	ug/Kg
CD06778	\$ETPH_SMR	Ext. Petroleum H.C. (C9-C36)	CT / RSR DEC I/C (mg/kg) / Pest/PCB/TPH	25000	11000	2500	2500	mg/Kg
CD06778	\$ETPH_SMR	Ext. Petroleum H.C. (C9-C36)	CT / RSR DEC RES (mg/kg) / Pest/PCB/TPH	25000	11000	500	500	mg/Kg
CD06778	\$ETPH_SMR	Ext. Petroleum H.C. (C9-C36)	CT / RSR GA,GAA (mg/kg) / Pesticides/TPH	25000	11000	500	500	mg/Kg
CD06778	TL-SM	Thallium	CT / RSR DEC RES (mg/kg) / Inorganics	BRL	6.6	5.4	5.4	mg/Kg

Phoenix Laboratories does not assume responsibility for the data contained in this exceedance report. It is provided as an additional tool to identify requested criteria exceedences. All efforts are made to ensure the accuracy of the data (obtained from appropriate agencies). A lack of exceedence information does not necessarily suggest conformance to the criteria. It is ultimately the site professional's responsibility to determine appropriate compliance.



REASONABLE CONFIDENCE PROTOCOL LABORATORY ANALYSIS QA/QC CERTIFICATION FORM

Laboratory Name: Phoenix Environmental Labs, Inc. Client: Tighe & Bond

Project Location: 98 PROSPECT E-0700 Project Number:

Laboratory Sample ID(s): CD06778 Sampling Date(s): 5/2/2019

List RCP Methods Used (e.g., 8260, 8270, et cetera) 6010, 7470/7471, 8082, 8270, ETPH

1	For each analytical method referenced in this laboratory report package, were all specified QA/QC performance criteria followed, including the requirement to explain any criteria falling outside of acceptable guidelines, as specified in the CT DEP method-specific Reasonable Confidence Protocol documents?	✓ Yes □ No
1A	Were the method specified preservation and holding time requirements met?	✓ Yes □ No
1B	<u>VPH and EPH methods only:</u> Was the VPH or EPH method conducted without significant modifications (see section 11.3 of respective RCP methods)	☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ NA
2	Were all samples received by the laboratory in a condition consistent with that described on the associated Chain-of-Custody document(s)?	✓ Yes □ No
3	Were samples received at an appropriate temperature (< 6 Degrees C)?	✓ Yes □ No □ NA
4	Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the Reasonable Confidence Protocol documents acheived? See Section: ICP Narration.	☐ Yes ☑ No
5	a) Were reporting limits specified or referenced on the chain-of-custody?	✓ Yes □ No
	b) Were these reporting limits met?	☐ Yes 🗹 No
6	For each analytical method referenced in this laboratory report package, were results reported for all constituents identified in the method-specific analyte lists presented in the Reasonable Confidence Protocol documents?	☐ Yes 🗹 No
7	Are project-specific matrix spikes and laboratory duplicates included in the data set?	☐ Yes ☑ No

Notes: For all questions to which the response was "No" (with the exception of question #7), additional information must be provided in an attached narrative. If the answer to question #1, #1A or 1B is "No", the data package does not meet the requirements for "Reasonable Confidence". This form may not be altered and all questions must be answered.

I, the undersigned, attest under the pains and penalties of perjury that, to the best of my knowledge and belief and based upon my personal inquiry of those responsible for providing the information contained in this analytical report, such information is accurate and complete.						
Authorized Signature: Po	Assistant Lab Director					
Printed Name: Greg Lawrence	Date: Friday, May 10, 2019					
Name of Laboratory Phoenix Environmental Labs, Inc.						

This certification form is to be used for RCP methods only.



587 East Middle Turnpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045 Tel. (860) 645-1102 Fax (860) 645-0823



RCP Certification Report

May 10, 2019 SDG I.D.: GCD06778

SDG Comments

8270 Semi-volatile Organics:

The client requested a short list for 8270 RCP Semivolatile. Only the PAH constituents are reported as requested on the chain-of-custody.

Not all requested reporting levels were achieved due to the presence of target and non target compounds. Please refer to the Sample Criteria Exceedances section of this report.

ETPH Narration

Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the Reasonable Confidence Protocol documents achieved? Yes.

Instrument:

AU-FID1 05/09/19-1

Keith Aloisa, Chemist 05/09/19

CD06778

The initial calibration (ETPH426I) RSD for the compound list was less than 30% except for the following compounds: None. As per section 7.2.3, a discrimination check standard was run (509A003_1) and contained the following outliers: None. The continuing calibration %D for the compound list was less than 30% except for the following compounds:None.

QC (Batch Specific):

Batch 477762 (CD07352)

CD06778

All LCS recoveries were within 60 - 120 with the following exceptions: None.

All LCSD recoveries were within 60 - 120 with the following exceptions: None.

All LCS/LCSD RPDs were less than 30% with the following exceptions: None.

Additional surrogate criteria: LCS acceptance range is 60-120% MS acceptance range 50-150%. The ETPH/DRO LCS has been normalized based on the alkane calibration.

Mercury Narration

Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the analytical method achieved? Yes.

Instrument:

MERLIN 05/06/19 08:56

Rick Schweitzer, Chemist 05/06/19

CD06778

The method preparation blank contains all of the acids and reagents as the samples; the instrument blanks do not.

The initial calibration met all criteria including a standard run at or below the reporting level.

All calibration verification standards (ICV, CCV) met criteria.

All calibration blank verification standards (ICB, CCB) met criteria.

The matrix spike sample is used to identify spectral interference for each batch of samples, if within 85-115%, no interference is observed and no further action is taken.

The following Initial Calibration Verification (ICV) compounds did not meet criteria: None.

The following Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) compounds did not meet criteria: None.

QC (Batch Specific):

Batch 477659 (CD06730)

CD06778

All LCS recoveries were within 70 - 130 with the following exceptions: None.



587 East Middle Turnpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045 Tel. (860) 645-1102 Fax (860) 645-0823



Certification Report

May 10, 2019 SDG I.D.: GCD06778

Mercury Narration

All LCSD recoveries were within 70 - 130 with the following exceptions: None.

All LCS/LCSD RPDs were less than 30% with the following exceptions: None.

Additional Mercury criteria: LCS acceptance range for waters is 80-120% and for soils is 70-130%. MS acceptance range is 75-125%.

ICP Metals Narration

Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the analytical method achieved? No.

QC Batch 477535 (Samples: CD06778): -----

The Sample/Duplicate RPD exceeds the method criteria for one or more analytes, therefore there may be variability in the reported result. (Arsenic)

Instrument:

ARCOS 05/04/19 08:02

Cindy Pearce, Chemist 05/04/19

CD06778

Additional criteria for CCV and ICSAB:

Sodium and Potassium are poor performing elements, the laboratory's in-house limits are 85-115% (CCV) and 70-130% (ICSAB). The linear range is defined daily by the calibration range.

The following Initial Calibration Verification (ICV) compounds did not meet criteria: None.

The following Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV) compounds did not meet criteria: None.

The following ICP Interference Check (ICSAB) compounds did not meet criteria: None.

QC (Batch Specific):

Batch 477535 (CD06730)

CD06778

All LCS recoveries were within 75 - 125 with the following exceptions: None.

PCB Narration

Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the Reasonable Confidence Protocol documents achieved? Yes.

Instrument:

AU-ECD3 05/06/19-1

Adam Werner, Chemist 05/06/19

CD06778

The initial calibration (PC423Al) RSD for the compound list was less than 20% except for the following compounds: None. The initial calibration (PC423Bl) RSD for the compound list was less than 20% except for the following compounds: None.

The continuing calibration %D for the compound list was less than 15% except for the following compounds:None.

QC (Batch Specific):

Batch 477546 (CD06732)

CD06778

All LCS recoveries were within 40 - 140 with the following exceptions: None.

All LCSD recoveries were within 40 - 140 with the following exceptions: None.



587 East Middle Turnpike, P.O.Box 370, Manchester, CT 06045 Tel. (860) 645-1102 Fax (860) 645-0823



RCP Certification Report

May 10, 2019 SDG I.D.: GCD06778

PCB Narration

All LCS/LCSD RPDs were less than 30% with the following exceptions: None.

SVOA Narration

Were all QA/QC performance criteria specified in the Reasonable Confidence Protocol documents achieved? Yes.

Instrument:

CHEM04 05/08/19-1

Wes Bryon, Chemist 05/08/19

CD06778

For 8270 full list, the DDT breakdown and pentachlorophenol & benzidine peak tailing were evaluated in the DFTPP tune and were found to be in control.

For 8270 BN list, benzidine peak tailing was evaluated in the DFTPP tune and was found to be in control.

Initial Calibration Evaluation (CHEM04/4_BN_0419):

100% of target compounds met criteria.

The following compounds had %RSDs >20%: None.

The following compounds did not meet recommended response factors: None.

The following compounds did not meet a minimum response factors: None.

Continuing Calibration Verification (CHEM04/0508_05-4_BN_0419):

Internal standard areas were within 50 to 200% of the initial calibration with the following exceptions: None.

100% of target compounds met criteria.

The following compounds did not meet % deviation criteria: None.

The following compounds did not meet maximum % deviations: None.

The following compounds did not meet recommended response factors: None.

The following compounds did not meet minimum response factors: None.

QC (Batch Specific):

Batch 477727 (CD06731)

CD06778

All LCS recoveries were within 30 - 130 with the following exceptions: None.

All LCSD recoveries were within 30 - 130 with the following exceptions: None.

All LCS/LCSD RPDs were less than 30% with the following exceptions: None.

Additional 8270 criteria: 20% of compounds can be outside of acceptance criteria as long as recovery is at least 10%. (Acid surrogates acceptance range for aqueous samples: 15-110%, for soils 30-130%)

Temperature Narration

The samples were received at 2.2C with cooling initiated.

(Note acceptance criteria for relevant matrices is above freezing up to 6°C)